Multicenter solutions, quivers and their implication for black hole physics **Iosif Bena** IPhT, CEA_{EA} Saclay

with

Nick Warner, Jan deBoer, Micha Berkooz, Gianguido Dall'Agata, Simon Ross, Stefano Giusto, Masaki Shigemori, Monica Guica, Dieter van den Bleeken, Sheer El-Showk, Stanislav Kuperstein, Hagen Triendl, Bert Vercnocke, Andrea Puhm



Strominger and Vafa (1996): *Count Black Hole Microstates* (branes + strings) Correctly match B.H. entropy !!! Zero Gravity



Standard lore:

- As gravity becomes stronger,
- brane configuration becomes smaller
- horizon develops and engulfs it
- recover standard black hole

Susskind Horowitz, Polchinski Damour, Veneziano



Strominger and Vafa (1996): *Count Black Hole Microstates* (branes + strings) Correctly match B.H. entropy !!! **BIG QUESTION:** Are **all** black hole microstates becoming geometries with no horizon ?

Black hole = ensemble of horizonless microstate configurations Mathur 2003



Analogy with ideal gas





Word of caution

- To replace classical BH by BH-sized object
 - Gravastar
 - Infinite density firewall hovering above horizon
 - LQG configuration
 - Quark-star, you name it ...
 - satisfy 2 very stringent tests:

```
Horowitz
```

1. Same growth with G_N !!!

- BH size grows with G_N
- Size of objects in other theories becomes smaller
- Multicenter solutions/microstate geometries pass this test
- Highly nontrivial mechanism (responsible for wall-crossing):
- D-brane tension ~ $1/g_s \rightarrow$ lighter and fluffier as G_N increases

2. Mechanism not to fall into BH

Very difficult !!!

Dogma: Thou shalt not put anything at the horizon !!!

- Horizon is null
- Must go at speed of light.
- If massive: ∞ boost $\rightarrow \infty$ energy
- If massless: dilutes with time (unless extremal)

- Nothing can live there !

- (nor carry degrees of freedom)
- No membrane, no smokescreen
- No (fire)wall, no wave sent by Bob

Must have a support mechanism !

Microstate geometries

M2 0 1 2 M2 0 3 4 M2 0 5 6

3-charge 5D black hole Strominger, Vafa; BMPV

$$S_{BMPV} = 2\pi\sqrt{N_1N_5N_P - J^2}$$

$$ds^{2} = Z_{1}^{-2/3} Z_{2}^{-2/3} Z_{3}^{-2/3} (dt + \vec{k})^{2} + Z_{1}^{1/3} Z_{2}^{1/3} Z_{3}^{1/3} dx_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}^{2} + ds_{T^{6}}^{2}$$

 $F_{120i} = \partial_i Z_1^{-1}$ $F_{340i} = \partial_i Z_2^{-1}$ $F_{560i} = \partial_i Z_3^{-1}$ electric

Want solutions with same asymptotics, but no horizon

Microstate geometries



BPS Microstates geometries - 11D SUGRA / T⁶

5D 3-charge BH (Strominger-Vafa)

Linear system 4 layers:

Bena, Warner Gutowski, Reall $\mathbb{R}^{4} \text{ base (4D Hyper Kahler)}$ $*G^{I} = G^{I}$ $d * dZ_{1} = G^{2} \wedge G^{3}$ $d\vec{k} + *d\vec{k} = G^{1}Z_{1} + G^{2}Z_{2} + G^{3}Z_{3}$

Focus on Gibbons-Hawking (Taub-NUT) base:

$$ds^{2} = V (dx_{1}^{2} + dx_{2}^{2} + dx_{3}^{2}) + V^{-1}(d\psi + \vec{A})^{2}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{A} = \nabla V$$

$$V = \frac{1}{r} \qquad \mathbb{R}^{4}$$

$$V = 1 + \frac{1}{r} \qquad \text{Taub-NUT}$$

$$Bena, Kraus, Warner$$

BPS Black Rings (in Taub-NUT)

Elvang, Emparan, Mateos, Reall; Bena, Kraus, Warner; Gaiotto, Strominger, Yin



 $S = \pi \sqrt{2n_1n_2\bar{N}_1\bar{N}_2 + 2n_1n_3\bar{N}_1\bar{N}_3 + 2n_2n_3\bar{N}_2\bar{N}_3 - n_1^2\bar{N}_1^2 - n_2^2\bar{N}_2^2 - n_3^2\bar{N}_3^2 - 4n_1n_2n_3J_T}$

4D BH: D2 charges \bar{N}_1 \bar{N}_2 \bar{N}_3 , D4 charges n_1 n_2 n_3 and D0 charge J_T

- Position of ring = F(charges, moduli); grows with gs
- Ring can go to infinity and disappear from spectrum
- Wall crossing the 5D version

Examples: Multiple Black Rings

- 5D BH on tip of Taub-NUT = 4D BH with D6 charge
- Black ring with BH in the middle = 2-centered 4D BH
- 17 black rings + BH = 18-centered 4D BH Denef



- 4D D6,D4,D2,D0 BH = 5D black hole
- 4D D4,D2,D0 BH = 5D black ring
- 5D: ring supported by angular momentum
- 4D: multicenter configuration supported by E x B

Microstates geometries



4 common supercharges

• – GH center $\Leftrightarrow \overline{\mathrm{D6}}$ brane

Lots and lots of solutions ! No singular sources or horizons Completely smooth (@ Taub-NUT centers geometry ~ R⁴) Same mass, charge, size as BH with large horizon area

Microstates geometries

• Where is the BH charge ?



- $L = ... + A_0 F_{12} F_{34} + ...$
- Where is the BH mass ?
 - $E = \dots + F_{12} F^{12} + \dots$
- BH angular momentum $J = E \times B = \dots + F_{01} F_{12} + \dots$

2-cycles + magnetic flux



Bubbling Geometries Black Hole Solitons beautiful GR story behind Gibbons, Warner

The charge is dissolved in magnetic fluxes. No singular sources. Klebanov-Strassler Deep scaling microstates

Phase

space

- 4D: points collapse on top of each other; scaling
- 5D: throat deeper and deeper; cap remains similar !
- Solution smooth throughout scaling !
- Long throats → small mass gap → typical CFT sector
- Scaling goes on forever !!! AdS-CFT unhappy
 - Can it be stopped ? Quantum effects ? YES
 - Destroy huge chunk of smooth horizonless solution !!!
 Bena, Wang, Warner; de Boer, El Showk, Messamah, van den Bleeken

Four Scales

- Classical BH has 2 scales:
 - Mass / Horizon Size
 - Planck Length
- Microstate geometries have 2 more
 - Redshift from the bottom of the throat (scaling coefficient): z_{max}
 - Size of bubbles: $\lambda_T \searrow k \, \ell_P$

 $\overline{\mathbf{y}}^{(0)} = \Delta_{ij} = \Delta_{jk} = \Delta_{jk}$

Can be traded for gap in energy spectrum Egap

Zmax

More general bubbling solutions

- Add supertubes (fluxed D4)
 - supersymmetric brane configs
 - arbitrary shape in 5D !!!
- Construct backreacted solution
 - Taub-NUT Green's functions (painful)
- Smooth in 6D sugra !
 - exactly as in flat space
 Mat
- Mathur Lunin² Maldacena Maoz
- Entropy: S~(Q^{5/2})^{1/2}
- 5D, 6D SUGRA evade bounds of entropy of 4D multicenter solutions de Boer, El Showk, Messamah, van den Bleeken
- Not yet black-hole-like (Q^{3/2}); getting there ☺



Even more general solutions

Bena, deBoer, Shigemori, Warner

- Supertubes (locally 16 susy) 8 functions of one variable (c = 8)
- Superstrata (locally 16 susy) 4 functions of two variables (c= ∞)
- Double supertube transition:



Superstrata

- Want smooth solution depending on arbitrary function of 2 variables $F(\psi, v)$
- ψ = GH fiber, v = D1-D5 common direction
- ψ-dependent solutions Mathur Lunin² Maldacena Maoz
- interchange fibers: v-dependent solutions
- more general: $f(\psi)$ and g(v)

Niehoff, Warner

- Superstrata entropy:
- D1-D5 supertube: dimension of moduli space
 - classically: dimension = ∞

– quantize: dimension = $4N_1N_5$ = number of momentum carriers

Counting (+ fermions)
 S=2π(N₁ N₅ N_p)^{1/2} !!!

(à la Maldacena Strominger Witten)

Bena, Shigemori, Warner

Quiver version

- Round supertube = D4 with flux
- 5D uplift: arbitrary functions of GH fiber $f(\psi)$
 - Quiver 1+1dim. field theory
 - Harder to write down than QQM
 - Moduli space = functions of 1 variable !!!
- Bubble equations: average 4D charges
- 6D uplift: superstratum $F(\psi, v)$
 - Quiver 2+1dim. field theory
 - Even harder
 - Moduli space = functions of 2 variables ?!?

SUSY microstates – the story:

- We have a huge number of them
 - Arbitrary continuous functions
 - Smooth solutions. 4 scales !
 - Superstrata reproduce black hole entropy Bena, Shigemori, Warner
- Dual to CFT states in typical sector
 - This is where BH states live too ③
 - AdS-CFT: highly weird if BH microstates had horizon Bena, Wang, Warner; Skenderis, Taylor
- Two non-backreacted calculations:
 - BH entropy scaling multicenter config Denef, Moore; Denef, Gaiotto, Strominger, Van den Bleeken, Yin
 - Higgs-Coulomb map

Bena, Berkooz, de Boer, El Showk, Van den Bleeken; Lee, Wang, Yi; Manschot, Pioline, Sen



Why destroy horizon ? Low curvature !

- Answer: space-time has singularity:
 - low-mass degrees of freedom
 - change physics on long distances
- Very common in string theory !!!
 - Polchinski-Strassler
 - Klebanov-Strassler
 - Giant Gravitons + LLM
 - D1-D5 system
- Non-Abelian ⇔ brane polarization ⇔ bubbling
- Nothing holy about singularity behind horizon Bena, Kuperstein, Warner
- It can be even worse QQM phase space: this happens even without horizon or singularity ! Bena, Wang, Warner; de Boer, El Showk, Messamah, van den Bleeken

BPS Black Hole = Extremal

- This is not so strange
- Horizon in causal future of singularity
- Time-like singularity resolved by (stringy) lowmass modes extending to horizon





Very few known. Extremely hard to build...

Coupled nonlinear 2'nd order PDE's do not factorize

Do not pray to the saint who does not help you ! Romanian proverb

Bena, Puhm, Vercnocke

- Idea: perturbative construction near-BPS
- antibranes in backgrounds with charge dissolved in fluxes Kachru, Pearson, Verlinde
- Add supertubes to BPS bubbling sols.
- Metastable minima
- Decay to susy minima: brane-flux annihilation - Hawking radiation
- Microstates of near-extremal BH



Near-Extremal BH Microstates

• Microstate geometries:

BH:



- Some longer, some shorter
- Force on branes (à la KKLMMT) wild fluctuations !!!
- Incoming observer cooked ? Definitely feel it !

The really big deal

At lest for Near-Extremal Resolution "backwards in time!"

What is the mechanism ?

- Topological cycles
- Opposite fluxes



- (+) and (-) charges dissolved in fluxes.
- No Solitons without Topology Gibbons, Warner
 - Only way to build stationary solutions with BH charges
- One mechanism to hold stuff at horizon three hypostases: Bubbling (ExB) ⇔ Brane polarization ⇔ NonAbelian
- Same as physical mechanism behind wall-crossing
- Quiver version: Supergoop ? Metastable ? Anninos, Anous, Denef, Konstantinidis, Shaghoulian; El-Showk, Puhm, Vercnocke
- Similar to flux vacua proposal Aganagic, Beem, Seo, Vafa

What about other black holes?



String theory can resolve BH singularities "backwards in time" Why stop at near-extremal? Same Mechanism ?

Pure BH states have no horizon - 4 approaches:

- (1) Information-theory arguments Mathur 2009, AMPS;

(3) Follow microstates from weak to strong coupling

- BH deconstruction, Higgs-Coulomb map, String emission Denef, Gaiotto, Strominger, Van den Bleeken, Yin, Bena, Berkooz, de Boer, El Showk, Van den Bleeken; Lee, Wang, Yi; Manschot, Pioline, Sen, Giusto, Russo, Turton,
- (4) Lots of BH microstate geometries = Hair !!!
 - Mechanism: bubbles (ExB) \Leftrightarrow polarization \Leftrightarrow non-Abelian
 - Universal lesson: 2 new scales, E_{qap} , λ_T
 - Can account for BH entropy

A few questions

- Would all microstates be classical ?
 - No, but classical solutions are the only things we can construct
 - Hovering mechanism extrapolates \Rightarrow brane polarization, non-Abelian
 - Typical states: many small bubbles $(\lambda_T \sim \ell_P)$, or just a few $(\lambda_T > \ell_P)$

Denef, Moore; Bena, Shigemori, Warner

- Larger bubbles have more entropy
- What about cosmological singularities ?
 - Resolved backwards in time ! How ?
 - Approaching space-like singularity one encounters e^S new states.
 - Small tunneling probability: e^{-S}
 - Will tunnel with probability ONE !!!
- Don't people in Saclay say antibranes are bad?
- Work in progress. So far bad. Tachyonic !!!
 - BAD \Rightarrow no dS multiverse, near-extremal microstates = unstable
 - some people want them like this JMaRT, Mathur, Avery, Chowdhury, Turton

A few questions

- Can you fall through horizon drinking your coffee ? (as GR textbooks say)
- Do you rather go splat at the horizon scale?
- 4 options:
 - Analyze ^{\$\sigma\$} density shells / membranes / stuff carrying d.o.f. @ horizon (kept from collapsing by the Tooth Fairy)
 - Modify Gravity by weird nonlocal terms and analyze horizon
 - Modify Quantum Mechanics to keep horizon smooth at all cost
 - Use solutions and mechanisms of String Theory
- Answer likely depends on E_{gap} , λ_T
- Known bubbling solutions or polarized branes have no intention to let you fall through unharmed

Summary and Future Directions

- String theory configurations that hover above horizon.
 Topology + fluxes (ExB) ⇔ brane polarization ⇔ nonabelian d.o.f.
- BPS black hole microstates = horizonless solitons
 - low-mass modes affect large (horizon) scales
 - Convergence of many research directions
 - BPS superstrata 2 variables Black Hole Entropy !
- Extensive extremal non-BPS story
- Extend to non-extremal black holes
 - Near-extremal
 - Metastable supertubes
 - Motion on moduli space supergoop (time-dependent) Denef & al
 - Maybe start thinking about experimental consequences ?
 - Far from extremality ?
 - No problem in principle; so far no systematic construction
 - 2'nd order nonlinear coupled PDE:
 - numerics? inverse scattering? blackfolds?
 - Neutral supertubes (time-dependent ?)

Mathur, Turton Bena, Ross, Warner

Bena, Puhm, Vercnocke